Innovative Options for Ex-Situ Removal of Perchlorate and Explosives in Groundwater

National Defense Industry Association 30th Environmental and Energy Symposium and Exhibition



April 7, 2004

Katherine Weeks (AMEC)
Scott Veenstra (AMEC)
David Hill

Innovative Technology Evaluation (ITE) Team

- Army National Guard
- Army Environmental Center
- Army Corps of Engineers
- AMEC Earth and Environmental

Acknowledgements

- Shaw Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.
- US Filter Corporation
- Pennsylvania State University (PSU)
- The Purolite Company
- DL Maher (div. of Boart Longyear, Inc.)

10/ 3
09/
16

ITE History and Mission

- History - Impact Area and Ranges at Site used for training since 1911
- Mission - Evaluate innovative remediation technologies to treat low levels of perchlorate and explosives in soil and groundwater



Ex Situ Groundwater Treatment Technology Evaluation

Technologies evaluated

- Fluidized Bed Bioreactor (FBBR)
- Granular Activated Carbon (Standard GAC)
- Granular Activated Carbon tailored by the addition of a proprietary cationic monomer (Tailored GAC)
- Ion Exchange Resin (IX Resin)

Site Contaminant and Aquifer Characteristics

Parameter	Area #1	Area #2	Area #3	Area #4
Perchlorate (µg/L)	100	5	1	1
RDX & HMX (µg/L)	200	0	6	0
Nitrate as N (mg/L)	2.2	<0.12	0.05	0.1
Sulfate (mg/L)	4.6	6.1	4.4	5.0
Chloride (mg/L)	7.6	7.9	7.2	8.7
Total Organic Carbon (mg/L)	<1.0	<1.0	0.59	0.68
Orthophosphate as P (mg/L)	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2	<0.2
Iron (mg/L)	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5	<0.5
pH (S.U.)	5.8	6.3	5.4	5.7
Dissolved Oxygen (mg/L)	9.8	9.4	10.6	9.2

Fluidized Bed Bioreactor Overview

- FBBR experience
  - In use at DoD and commercial sites - Longhorn AAP (TX), Aerojet (CA)
  - Vendor – Shaw Environmental & Infrastructure, Inc.
  - Prior demonstration at lab scale on TNT but not RDX
- FBBR study
  - Bed medium (GAC)
  - Biomass
  - Nutrient substrate
  - Nutrients (N, P)
  - pH control
  - Fluidization control



FBBR Flow Schematic
Courtesy of Shaw, Inc.

FBBR Area #1 Study Results



FBBR A (Acetic Acid) Effluent Perchlorate vs. Time

FBBR Area #2 Study Results



FBBR A (Acetic Acid) Effluent Perchlorate vs. Time

FBBR Study Conclusions

- Area #1 Study (Perchlorate and RDX)
  - Perchlorate degraded to <1.0 µg/L at Hydraulic Retention Time (HRT) of 35 min.
  - RDX degraded to <2 µg/L at HRT of 80 min.
- Area #2 Study (Perchlorate alone)
  - Perchlorate degraded to <1.0 µg/L at HRT of 16 min.
  - Addition of nitrate is required when perchlorate and other electron acceptors are low.



Granular Activated Carbon (Standard GAC)

- GAC - an old friend to water treatment, used on explosives
- Theory
  - Contaminants held onto carbon surface via adsorption
  - Contaminants removed but not destroyed
  - Initial Breakthrough - after carbon's capacity is exhausted, levels in effluent are above detection limits
  - Rapid Small Scale Column Tests (RSSCTs) predict performance of a full-scale system
- Goal - Can Standard GAC remove perchlorate from groundwater at very low concentrations?
- Test - RSSCTs to find how much groundwater can be processed before breakthrough
  - Carbon provided by US Filter
  - Tests performed by PSU (Dr. Fred Cannon, Bob Parette)

10/ 1
09/ 1
16

Standard GAC RSSCTs

Parameter	Test #1	Test #2	Test #3	Test #4	Test #5
Source Study Area	#4	#1	#1	#1	#3
Perchlorate (µg/L)	1	5	5	5	1
Influent RDX (µg/L)	0	0	0	0	5.5
Influent HMX (µg/L)	0	0	0	0	0.5
EBCT (min)	20	5	7	20	10
BV to Perchlorate BT	30,000 to 40,000	15,000 to 25,000	20,000 to 24,000		20,000 to 46,000
BV to RDX BT	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	308,000
Effective Bed Life (mo)[1]	13	2	3-4	9-11	9-10

EBCT = Empty Bed Contact Time BV = Bed Volumes BT = Breakthrough

[1] Effective Bed Life = time between media change-outs (months)

Modified Granular Activated Carbon (Tailored GAC)

- Theory - Increasing number of positive charges on GAC surface improves perchlorate adsorption
- Goal - Can modified GAC offer an economical alternative to conventional GAC?
- Test - Preload the GAC with organic monomer with a strong positive charge (Tailored GAC)
  - Tests performed by PSU (Dr. Fred Cannon, Bob Parette)
- Materials - Proprietary cationic monomer - NSF approval is pending

Tailored GAC RSSCTs

Parameter Source Study Area	Test #6 #2	Test #7 #3	Test #8 [3] #3
Perchlorate (µg/L)	5	1	1
Influent explosives (µg/L)	0	6	6
EBCT (min)	5	9	9
BV to Perchlorate BT to 170,000	77,000	270,000	270,000
BV to RDX BT	N/A	8,000	308,000
Effective Bed Life (mo) [1]	9 - 19	56 [2]	56

EBCT = Empty Bed Contact Time BV = Bed Volumes BT = Breakthrough

[1] Effective Bed Life = time between media change-outs (months)

[2] Bed Life applies only to perchlorate treatment, not RDX treatment

[3] Test #8 combines results from Tests #5 & #7 (2 columns: 1 Tailored GAC, followed by 1 Standard GAC)

RSSCT Results & Conclusions

- For 5 µg/L perchlorate in groundwater
  - Standard GAC - operational life is 3 - 4 months (10-minute EBCT)
  - Tailored GAC - operational life is ~ 20 months (5- minute EBCT)
- For 1 µg/L perchlorate and 6 µg/L explosives in groundwater
  - Standard GAC - operational life is ~ 9 months (10-minute EBCT)
  - Tailored GAC followed by Standard GAC - operational life is ~ 56 months (8.5-minute EBCT)
- Sorption differences
  - Standard GAC very effective for explosives, slightly effective for perchlorate
  - Tailored GAC very effective for perchlorate, ineffective for explosives

Ion Exchange Resins (IX Resins)

- Theory - Anions held to a +charged surface are exchanged for other anions. These IX resins do not remove explosives/other neutral species
- Test - Field studies using Type I Styrenic Resins & Nitrate-Selective Resins
- Perchlorate Selective Resins evaluated, but appear to have similar effective bed life to Nitrate-Selective Resins for treating perchlorate at the site, at higher expense
- Materials - Purolite A520E, Purolite A600E are NSF approved for use in water supply



Ion Exchange Resin Beads
Courtesy of The Purolite Company

10/ 1
09/ 6
16

Field Study - Tailored GAC, IX Resins

- Goal #1 - Is Tailored GAC effective at field scale?
- Goal #2 - How much will monomer leach from Tailored GAC?
- Goal #3 - Will a “polishing” GAC vessel capture leached monomer?
- Goal #4 - Can IX resins treat low concentrations of perchlorate?



Field Study - Tailored GAC, IX Resins

Tailored Media	N – S GAC	T1 - S Resin	Resin
Source Study Area	#2	#2	#2
Perchlorate (µg/L)	3	3	3
Explosives (µg/L)	0	0	0
EBCT (min)	5	5	5
Bed Volumes to date	21,000	21,000	21,000
Predicted Bed Volumes	>150,000		72,000
15,000			
Predicted Bed Life (mo)[1]	> 16		> 8
> 1.5			

N-S = Nitrate Selective ion exchange resin
T1-S = Type I Styrenic ion exchange resin
EBCT = Empty Bed Contact Time
BV = Bed Volumes
[1] Predicted Bed Life = time between change-outs (months)

Field Study: Tailored GAC and Ion Exchange Treatment

ITE Field Study Results & Conclusions

- Initial effluent from a Tailored GAC unit contains < 1 mg/L monomer; after one month < 0.1 mg/L.
- Preliminary: The Nitrate Selective Resin will likely remove perchlorate using an EBCT of 5 minutes, for an operation bed life of ~ 8 months.
- Preliminary: The Type I Styrenic Resin will likely remove perchlorate using an EBCT of 5 minutes, for an operation bed life of ~ 2 months.

10/ 1
09/ 3
16

Implementation Cost Comparison

Treatment Scenario	Comparative Cost
5 µg/L perchlorate	
° Standard GAC	2x
° Tailored GAC	1.5X
° Nitrate Selective IX Resin	4x
1 µg/L perchlorate, 6 µg/L explosives	
° Standard GAC	1X
° Tailored GAC[1]	2X
° Nitrate Selective IX Resin	4X

Assumptions:

- Costs are for media only, except for Tailored GAC, where extra analytical costs are added. If monomer is NSF approved, costs are reduced by 0.5X
- [1] Tailored GAC system requires extra Standard GAC vessel to treat explosives

10/ 2
09/ 0
16

ITE Study Recommendations

- Standard GAC can treat very low concentrations of perchlorate
- Standard GAC can be especially effective when explosives are present in addition to perchlorate
- Ion Exchange Resins can treat low concentrations of perchlorate to very low treatment goals.
- Tailored GAC may be an economical alternative to ion exchange resins. Further work to obtain NSF approval should be pursued.
- Applicability of ITE results to other sites is dependent on site characteristics -- RSSCTs, field studies recommended

10/ 2
09/ 1
16

References and Resources

- AMEC Earth & Environmental, Inc.
  - Katy Weeks – katherine.weeks@amec.com
  - Scott Veenstra – scott.veenstra@amec.com
- Shaw Environmental and Infrastructure, Inc.
  - Paul Togna - paul.togna@shawgrp.com
  - Bill Guarini - william.guarini@shawgrp.com
- Pennsylvania State University
  - Dr. Fred Cannon - fcannon@psu.edu
  - Bob Parette - rbp122@psu.edu
- US Filter
  - Dr. James Graham - GrahamJ@USFilter.com
  - Tim Peschman - PeschmanT@USFilter.com
- The Purolite Company
  - Tedd Begg - tbegg@puroliteUSA.com
  - Francis Boodoo - fboodoo@puroliteUSA.com